



REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
SEC Building, EDSA, Greenhills  
City of Mandaluyong, Metro Manila

5 March 2015

**REV. ALEX B. FUENTES, DMin.**

Corporate/General Secretary  
Philippine General Council of  
Assemblies of God, Inc.  
Gov. I. Santiago St., Malinta,  
Valenzuela City

Subject: **Philippine General Council of  
the Assemblies of God, Inc.**

Sir:

This refers to your letter dated 19 December 2014 informing the Commission of the proper reading of the Decision dated 16 July 2014 issued by the Regional Trial Court, Branch 75, Valenzuela City, the dispositive portion of which reads:

*"IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, judgment is hereby rendered in favor of the plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B) and declaring:*

- 1. The removal of Reverend Calusay and Reverend Suico as null and void;*
- 2. The lifting of the suspension of Rev. Sobrepena and his assumption as Acting General Superintendent/President as null and void;*
- 3. The Triennial Meeting and election called by defendants (Defendants-Faction A) as null and void;*
- 4. Declaring the duly elected officers of PGCAG held during the Triennial Meeting and election called by plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B) as the Board of Trustees authorized to designate the authorized signatories for and in behalf of PGCAG; and*

*Plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B) is hereby awarded Php50,000.00 as attorney's fees and appearance fee plus cost of suit.*

**SO ORDERED."**

On 6 January 2015, the Court issued a Partial Writ of Execution of the aforesaid Decision.

A review of the letter issued by the Commission dated 27 October 2014 provides and we quote:

*"A reading of the above-mentioned Decision shows that plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B) refers to Rev. David Sobrepena, Rev. Amado Lumahan, Sr., Rev. Felipe A. Acena and Rev. Carlos Gulane."*

To put the matter in proper perspective, the aforesaid Decision rendered in favor of Plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B) simply refers to Plaintiff Philippine General Council of the Assemblies of God, represented by Rev. Reynaldo Calusay and Rev. Reynaldo Calusay, Rev. Joseph Rommel Suico as Defendants Faction B, as manifested in the title of the case.

In the enforcement of the Decision and Partial Writ of Execution, the Commission adopts a policy wherein all documents filed before it and may be the subject of controversy shall not be stricken out or cancelled by the Commission. The Decision and the Writ shall be attached to the records of the corporation and its dispositive portion shall be marked or annotated on the face of the questioned documents.

Considering that the Court rendered judgment in favor of the Plaintiff (Defendants-Faction B), the dispositive portions of the Decision dated 16 July 2014 and the Partial Writ of Execution dated 6 January 2015 shall therefore be marked and annotated on the face of questioned 2013 and 2014 General Information Sheets filed by Defendants Rev. David A. Sobrepena, et al. on 1 July 2013 and 19 May 2014, respectively.

Moreover, in the exercise of its regulatory functions, the Commission is mandated to receive the annual reportorial requirements including pertinent documents of the subject corporation.

Very truly yours,

  
**FERDINAND B. SALES**  
Director

cc:

Corporate Filing and Records Division